arXiv:1507.08270v4 [math.OA] 15 Feb 2016

TWO-FACED FAMILIES OF NON-COMMUTATIVE RANDOM VARIABLES HAVING BI-FREE INFINITELY DIVISIBLE DISTRIBUTIONS

MINGCHU GAO

Abstract. We study two-faced families of non-commutative random variables having bi-free (additive) infinitely divisible distributions. We prove a limit theorem of the sums of bi-free two-faced families of random variables within a triangular array. As a corollary of our limit theorem, we get Voiculescu's bi-free central limit theorem. Using the full Fock space operator model, we show that a two-faced pair of random variables has a bi-free (additive) infinitely divisible distribution if and only if its distribution is the limit distribution in our limit theorem. Finally, we characterize the bi-free (additive) infinite divisibility of the distribution of a two-faced pair of random variables in terms of bi-free Levy processes.

Key Words. Bi-free Probability, Limit Theorems, Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Bi-free Levy Processes.

2010 MSC 46L54

Introduction

On a free product of Hilbert spaces with a specified unit vector there are two actions of the operators of the initial space, corresponding to a left and a right tensorial factorization respectively ([VDN]). In free probability, free random variables can be modeled as left *or* right actions on free products of spaces. When considering the algebra of left actions *and* the algebra of right actions on the free product space simultaneously, Voiculescu [Vo1] discovered a new phenomenon of freely independent family of two-faced families of random variables, which is called *bi-free independence*. This "two-faced" extension of free probability is called *bi-free probability* (or free probability for two-faced pairs) introduced in [Vo1] and [Vo2] recently.

Bi-free independence is a more general notion than free independence. Classical independence and free independence can be treated as special cases of bi-freeness ([PS]). Voiculescu [Vo1] demonstrated that many results in free probability such as existence of free cumulants and the free central limit theorem, have direct analogues in the bi-free setting. Voiculescu [Vo1] showed the existence of bi-free cumulant polynomials, but did not give explicit formulas for the polynomials. Mastnak and Nica [MN] introduced combinatorial objects called *bi-non-crossing partitions*, associated a family of *(l,r)-cumulants* with the combinatorial objects, and conjectured that bi-freeness was equivalent to the vanishing of these mixed cumulants. This conjecture was later proved by Charlesworth, Nelson, and Skoufranis in [CNS1]. The same authors developed a theory of bi-freeness in an amalgamated setting in [CNS2].

In classical probability, infinitely divisible distributions appear as a broad generalization of the central limit theorem and the Poisson limit theorem: the limit distribution of the sums of i.i.d. random variables within a triangular array. Meanwhile, infinitely divisible distributions are closely related to Levy processes, a very important research area in probability theory ([PSa]). There has been a well-developed theory on infinitely divisible distributions in free probability: the limit distributions of free random variables within a triangular array, infinitely divisible distributions with respect to the additive free convolution, and free Levy processes have a perfect relation similar to those in classical probability ([NS]). In bi-free probability, Voiculescu [Vo1] defined centered bi-free Gaussian distributions and proved an algebraic bi-free central limit theorem, a bi-free version of semicircle distributions and the free central limit theorem, respectively (7.2 and 7.3 in [Vo1]). In

[Vo2], Voiculescu studied a special kind of two-faced families of random variables where algebraic relations between left and right random variables ensure that all moments can be computed from the "two-band" moments $\varphi(LR)$, where L and R are monomials in left and, respectively, right random variables. A simplest example is bi-partite systems where left and right variables commute with each other. In [GHM], the authors studied infinitely divisible distributions in the bi-free probability setting for bi-partite systems. They derived a bi-free analogue of the Levy-Hincin formula for infinitely divisible distributions with respect to the additive bi-free convolution, constructed bi-free Levy processes corresponding to bi-free infinitely divisible distributions, and proved a bi-free limit theorem. In this paper, we study infinitely divisible distributions with respect to the additive bi-free convolution for general two-faced pairs of non-commutative random variables, i.e., we do not assume that the left and right random variables of the two-faced pair commute with each other.

Besides this introduction, this paper contains 4 sections. In section 1 we review the basic knowledge on bi-free probability used in sequel. In Section 2, we prove limit theorems (Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4) in bi-free probability, generalizing the limit theorem in free probability (Theorem 13.1 in [NS]) and the limit theorem in bi-free probability for bi-partite systems (Theorem 3.1 in [GHM]) to the general case of two-faced families of random variables. As a corollary of our limit theorems, we get Voiculescu's bi-free central limit theorem (Proposition 2.6). Section 3 is devoted to studying two-faced pairs of random variables having bi-free infinitely divisible distributions. We show that a two-faced pair (a,b) of self adjoint operators in a C^*-probability apace $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution if and only if its distribution is the limit distribution of the sums of bi-free independent two-faced pairs of random variables within a triangular array (Theorem 3.7). Finally, in Section 4, we define bi-free Levy processes (Definition 4.1). Our definition is very similar to Definition 4.1 in [GHM]. But we do not assume that the system is bi-partite. We find that a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution is exactly the distribution of $a_1=(a_{l,1},a_{r,1})$ of a bi-free Levy process $\{a_t=(a_{l,t},a_{r,t}):t\geq 0\}$ (Theorem 4.2), generalizing Theorem 4.2 in [GHM] to the general case of two-faced pairs of random variables.

We refer the reader to [VDN] and [NS] for free probability, to [Vo1], [Vo2], [MN], [CNS1], and [CNS2] for bi-free probability, and to [KR] for operator algebras.

Acknowledgement The author is grateful to the referee(s) for carefully reviewing the paper, providing many valuable suggestions, and finding out typos in the paper. The author would like to thank Dr. Paul Skoufranis of Texas A. and M. University and Prof. Guimei An of Nankai University, China, for pointing out mistakes and typos in the initial version of this paper.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some basic concepts and results in bi-free probability used in sequel.

Free Products of vector spaces Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a vector space with a vector ξ, and a subspace $\mathcal{X}_0$ with co-dimension 1 such that $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{C}\xi\oplus\mathcal{X}_0$. Let $L(\mathcal{X})$ be the space of all linear operators on $\mathcal{X}$. We use $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}_0,\xi)$ to denote vector space $\mathcal{X}$ with the above decomposition property. Let $(\mathcal{X}_i,\mathcal{X}_{i,0},\xi_i), i\in I$, be a family of vector spaces. The free product $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}_0,\xi)=*_{i\in I}(\mathcal{X}_i,\mathcal{X}_{i,0},\xi_i)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{C}\xi\oplus\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_0=\oplus_{n\geq 1}(\oplus_{i_1\neq i_2\neq\cdots\neq i_n}\mathcal{X}_{i_1,0}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{i_2,0}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{X}_{i_n,0}).$$

We can define a linear functional $\phi:\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{C}$ by $\phi(\xi)=1, \ker(\phi)=\mathcal{X}_0$, then a linear functional $\varphi_\xi: L(\mathcal{X})\to\mathbb{C}$, $\varphi_\xi(T)=\phi(T\xi), \forall T\in L(\mathcal{X})$. The pair $(L(\mathcal{X}),\varphi_\xi)$ is a non-commutative probability space.

A Tensor Product Factorization of Free Product Vector Spaces Let $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_0\oplus\mathbb{C}\xi$ be the free product of a family $\{(\mathcal{X}_i,\mathcal{X}_{0,i},\xi_i): i\in I\}$ of vector spaces. For $i\in I$, define

$$\mathcal{X}(l,i)=\mathbb{C}\xi\oplus\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}(\bigoplus_{i_1\neq i_2\neq\cdots\neq i_n, i_1\neq i}\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}(r,i)=\mathbb{C}\xi\oplus\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}(\bigoplus_{i_1\neq i_2\neq\cdots\neq i_n, i_n\neq i}\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n}).$$

Define a unitary operator $V_i:\mathcal{X}_i\otimes\mathcal{X}(l,i)\to\mathcal{X}$ by

$$V_i:\xi_i\otimes\xi\mapsto\xi,\mathcal{X}_{0,i}\otimes\xi\mapsto\mathcal{X}_{0,i},\xi_i\otimes(\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n})\mapsto\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n},$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{0,i}\otimes(\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n})\mapsto\mathcal{X}_{0,i}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_1}\otimes\mathcal{X}_{0,i_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{X}_{0,i_n}.$$

We can define $W_i:\mathcal{X}(r,i)\otimes\mathcal{X}_i\to\mathcal{X}$ similarly.

An operator $T\in L(\mathcal{X}_i)$ can act on the free product space $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}_0,\xi)$ from leftmost $\lambda_i(T)$, or from rightmost $\rho_i(T)$:

$$\lambda_i(T)=V_i(T\otimes I)V_i^*,\rho_i(T)=W_i(I\otimes T)W_i^*.$$

Bi-free independence. A two-faced family of random variables

$$(\widehat{b},\widehat{c}):=((b_i)_{i\in I},(c_j)_{j\in J})$$

is an ordered pair of two families of elements in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$. For a two-faced family $(\widehat{b},\widehat{c})=((b_i)_{i\in I},(c_j)_{j\in J})$ of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$, its distribution $\mu_{\widehat{b},\widehat{c}}:\mathbb{C}\langle X_i,Y_j|i\in I,j\in J\rangle\to\mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\mu_{\widehat{b},\widehat{c}}(P((X_i)_{i\in I},(Y_j)_{j\in J}))=\varphi(P((b_i)_{i\in I},(c_j)_{j\in J})),\forall P\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_i,Y_j|i\in I,j\in J\rangle.$$

A pair of faces (or face-pair) in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ is an ordered pair $(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$ of two unital subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\pi=((\mathcal{B}_k,\mathcal{C}_k))_{k\in K}$ be a family of pairs of faces in $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$. The *joint distribution* of π is the linear functional $\mu_\pi:*_{k\in K}\mathcal{B}_k*\mathcal{C}_k\to\mathbb{C}$ defined by $\mu_\pi=\varphi\circ\alpha$, where $\alpha:*_{k\in K}\mathcal{B}_k*\mathcal{C}_k\to\mathcal{A}$ is the homomorphism such that

$$\alpha|_{\mathcal{B}_k}(x)=x,\forall x\in\mathcal{B}_k,\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}_k}(x)=x,\forall x\in\mathcal{C}_k.$$

Let $z'=((b_i')_{i\in I},(c_j')_{j\in J})$ and $z''=((b_i'')_{i\in I},(c_j'')_{j\in J})$ be two two-faced families in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$. We say that z' and z'' are *bi-free* if there exist a free product $(\mathcal{X},p,\xi)=(\mathcal{X}',p',\xi')*(\mathcal{X}'',p'',\xi'')$ of vector spaces and homomorphisms

$$l^\varepsilon:\mathbb{C}\langle b_i^\varepsilon:i\in I\rangle\to\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon),r^\varepsilon:\mathbb{C}\langle c_j^\varepsilon:j\in J\rangle\to L(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon),\varepsilon\in\{','' \},$$

such that $T^\varepsilon:=(\lambda^\varepsilon\circ l^\varepsilon(b_i^\varepsilon)_{i\in I},\rho^\varepsilon\circ r^\varepsilon(c_j^\varepsilon)_{j\in J})$ with $\varepsilon\in\{','' \}$ have a joint distribution in $(L(\mathcal{X}),\varphi_\xi)$ same as that of z' and z'' in $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$.

An example of bi-free two-faced families of random variables. Let $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{H}_i$ be the direct sum of complex Hilbert spaces, and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})=\mathbb{C}\Omega\oplus\bigoplus_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ be the full Fock space. Let

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}:B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))\to\mathbb{C},\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(T)=\langle T\Omega,\Omega\rangle,\forall T\in B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})),$$

be the vector state on $B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$ corresponding to the vacuum vector $\Omega\in\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})),\tau_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a C^*-probability space.

For $f\in\mathcal{H},T\in B(\mathcal{H}),\xi=\xi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\xi_n\in\underbrace{\mathcal{H}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{H}}_{n\text{times}}$, define

$$l(f)\Omega=f,l(f)\xi=f\otimes\xi,r(f)\Omega=f,r(f)\xi=\xi\otimes f,$$

$$\Lambda_l(T)\Omega=0,\Lambda_l(T)\xi=(T\xi_1)\otimes\xi_2\otimes\cdots\otimes\xi_n,\Lambda_r(T)\Omega=0,\Lambda_r(T)\xi=\xi_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\xi_{n-1}\otimes(T\xi_n).$$

Proposition 1.1 (Remark 3.5 in [GHM]). *Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_i$ be the C^*-algebras generated by $\{l(f):f\in\mathcal{H}_i\}\cup\{\Lambda_l(T):T\in B(\mathcal{H}),T\mathcal{H}_i\subset\mathcal{H}_i,T|_{\mathcal{H}\ominus\mathcal{H}_i}=0\}$ and $\{r(f):f\in\mathcal{H}_i\}\cup\{\Lambda_r(T):T\in B(\mathcal{H}),T\mathcal{H}_i\subset\mathcal{H}_i,T|_{\mathcal{H}\ominus\mathcal{H}_i}=0\}$, respectively. Then $\{(\mathcal{B}_i,\mathcal{C}_i):i\in I\}$ is bi-free in $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})),\tau_{\mathcal{H}})$.*

Bi-free cumulants. Let $\chi = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n) \in \{l, r\}^n$. Let's record explicitly where are the occurrences of l and r in χ.

$$\{m : 1 \leq m \leq n, h_m = l\} = \{m_l(1) < m_l(2) < \cdots, < m_l(u)\},$$

$$\{m : 1 \leq m \leq n, h_m = r\} = \{m_r(1) < m_r(2) < \cdots, < m_r(n-u)\}.$$

Define a permutation $s_\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, $s_\chi(i) = m_l(i)$, if $1 \leq i \leq u$; $s_\chi(u+i) = m_r(n-u+1-i)$, if $1 \leq i \leq n-u$.

For a subset $V = \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k\}$ of the set $[n] := \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, $a_1 \cdots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$\varphi_V(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \varphi(a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_k}).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of all partitions of $[n]$. For a partition $\pi = \{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_d\} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, we define

$$\varphi_\pi(a_1, \cdots, a_n) := \prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_V(a_1, \cdots, a_n).$$

Define $\mathcal{P}^\chi(n) = \{s_\chi \circ \pi : \pi \in NC(n)\}$, where $NC(n)$ is the set of all non-crossing partitions of $[n]$ (Lecture 9 in [NS]). Let $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ be a non-commutative probability space. The bi-free cumulants $(\kappa_\chi : \mathcal{A}^n \to \mathbb{C})_{n \geq 1, \chi \in \{l,r\}^n}$ of $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ are defined by

$$\kappa_\chi(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n)} \varphi_\pi(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \mu_n(s_\chi^{-1} \circ \pi, 1_n) \tag{1.1}$$

for $n \geq 1, \chi \in \{l, r\}^n, a_1, \cdots, a_n \in A$, where μ_n is the Mobius function on $NC(n)$ (Lecture 10 in [NS]). For a subset $V = \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, let χ_V be the restriction of χ on V. We define $\kappa_{\chi,V}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) = \kappa_{\chi_V}(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \cdots, a_{i_k})$. For a partition $\pi = \{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_k\} \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}$, we define $\kappa_{\chi,\pi} = \prod_{V \in \pi} \kappa_{\chi,V}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$. Then the bi-free cumulant appeared in (1.1) is $\kappa_{\chi,1_n}(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$. The bi-free cumulants are determined by the equation

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n)} \kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n), \forall a_1, \cdots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1.2}$$

for a $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}^n$.

Charlesworth, Nelson, and Skoufranis [CNS1] proved that two two-faced families

$$z' = ((z'_i)_{i \in I}, (z'_j)_{j \in J}), z'' = ((z''_i)_{i \in I}, (z''_j)_{j \in J})$$

in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ are bi-free if and only if

$$\kappa_\chi(z_{\alpha(1)}^{\epsilon_1}, z_{\alpha(2)}^{\epsilon_2}, \cdots, z_{\alpha(n)}^{\epsilon_n}) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

whenever $\alpha : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to I \bigsqcup J$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$ such that $\alpha^{-1}(I) = \chi^{-1}(\{l\})$, $\epsilon : [n] \to \{', ''\}^n$ is not constant, and $n \geq 2$ (Theorem 4.3.1 in [CNS1]).

2. Bi-free limit theorems

Our goal, in this section, is to prove a bi-free limit theorem, an analogue of Theorem 13.1 in [NS] in bi-free probability.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ be a non-commutative probability space, and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\{(a_{l,N,1}, a_{r,N,1}), (a_{l,N,2}, a_{r,N,2}), \cdots, (a_{l,N,N}, a_{r,N,N})\}$$

be a sequence of two-faced pairs of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$. An index tuple $\{(i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(n)) : i(j) = 1, 2, \cdots, N, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ corresponds to a partition π of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ if

$$p \sim_\pi q \Leftrightarrow i(p) = i(q), p, q = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

The following statements are equivalent.

(1) *For all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, all* $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$*, and all* $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$*, limits*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\sigma \leq \pi, \sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})$$

exist, where $\{i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(n)\}$ *is an index tuple corresponding to partition* σ*.*

(2) *The limits*

$$lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(1),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})$$

exist, for all $\{i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(n)\}$ *correspond to partition* σ*, all* $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$ *all* $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n), \sigma \leq \pi$*, all* $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$*, and all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

(3) *For each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$*, limit*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N \kappa_{\chi,1_n}(a_{\chi(1),N,i}, a_{\chi(2),N,i}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i})$$

exists, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$*.*

Proof. (2) ⇒ (1) is obvious, since the sum in (1) is a sum of finite terms.

(1) ⇒ (2). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi = 1_n$, $|\pi| = 1$, by (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\sigma \leq \pi, \sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
=& \lim_{N\to\infty} N \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
=& \lim_{N\to\infty} N \varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i} a_{\chi(2),N,i} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i})
\end{aligned}$$

exists, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. It implies that for a partition $\pi = \{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_d\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \varphi_\pi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&\qquad = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{d} N \varphi_{V_j}(a_{\chi(1),N,i}, a_{\chi(2),N,i}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i})
\end{aligned}$$

exists. From this, we have for $\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n)$, by (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(1),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
=& \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{V\in\pi} N \kappa_{\chi,V}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(1),N,i}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i}) \\
=& \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{V\in\pi} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{(\chi|_V)}(|V|)} \frac{N}{N^{|\sigma|}} N^{|\sigma|} \varphi_\sigma((a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(1),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})|_V) \mu_{|V|}(s_\chi^{-1}(\sigma), 1_{|V|}) \\
=& \prod_{V\in\pi} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{(\chi|_V)}(V)} (\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N}{N^{|\sigma|}} \\
\times& \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\sigma|} \varphi_\sigma((a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(1),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})|_V) \mu_{|V|}(s_\chi^{-1}(\sigma), 1_{|V|}))
\end{aligned}$$

exists. Therefore, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}, \sigma \leq \pi, \pi \in \mathcal{P}(n), \chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}^n$, the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&\qquad = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N^{|\pi|}}{N^{|\sigma|}} \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\sigma|} \kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})
\end{aligned}$$

exists.

(2) ⇒ (3) is obvious. (3) ⇒ (2) is also obvious, by the proof of (1) ⇒ (2). □

The following lemma is a bi-free probability version of Lemma 13.2 in [NS]. Using equations (1.1) and (1.2), we can get a proof as same as that of Lemma 13.2 in [NS].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ be a non-commutative probability space, and for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\{(a_{l,N,1}, a_{r,N,1}), (a_{l,N,2}, a_{r,N,2}), \cdots, (a_{l,N,N}, a_{r,N,N})\}$$

be a sequence of two-faced pairs of random variables in $\mathcal{A}$.Then the following two statements are equivalent.

(1) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, limit*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N\kappa_{\chi,1_n}(a_{\chi(1),N,i}, a_{\chi(2),N,i}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i})$$

exists, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$.

(2) *The limit*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i}a_{\chi(1),N,i} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i})$$

exists, for every $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If the above limits exist, they are equal to one another, i. e.,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N\kappa_{\chi,1_n}(a_{\chi(1),N,i}, a_{\chi(2),N,i}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i}) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i}a_{\chi(1),N,i} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i}).$$

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\{a_{N,1} = (a_{l,N,1}, a_{r,N,1}), a_{N,2} = (a_{l,N,2}, a_{r,N,2}), \cdots, a_{N,N} = (a_{l,N,N}, a_{r,N,N})\}$$

be a bi-free family of N identically distributed two-faced fairs of random variables in a non-commutative probability $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$. It follows that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}, \chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, the moments

$$\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i}), 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

are independent of i. Let $S_{h,N} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{h,N,i}$, for $h \in \{l.r\}$, and $S_N = (S_{l,N}, S_{r,N})$ be the two-faced pair of random variables in $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$. Then we have the following limit theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *The sequence of two-faced pairs $\{S_N : N \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to a two-faced pair $b = (b_l, b_r)$ in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{B}, \phi)$, as $N \to \infty$, i. e.,*

$$S_N \xrightarrow{distr} (b_l, b_r), N \to \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

if and only if for each $n \geq 1$, and $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,1}a_{\chi(2),N,1} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,1}) \tag{2.2}$$

exists.

Furthermore, if the limits exist, then the joint distribution of the limit pair $b = (b_l, b_r)$ is determined in terms of bi-free cumulants by

$$\kappa_\chi(b) := \kappa_{\chi,1_n}(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,1}a_{\chi(2),N,1} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,1}),$$

for $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$.

Proof. We follow the idea in the proof of Theorem 13.1 in [NS]. For an $n \geq 1$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi_\chi(S_N) &:= \lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi(S_{\chi(1),N} S_{\chi(2),N} \cdots S_{\chi(n),N}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{i(1),i(2),\cdots,i(n)=1}^{N} \varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}(n)} N(N-1)\cdots(N-|\pi|+1)\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}(n)} (\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-|\pi|+1)}{N^{|\pi|}} \\
&\times \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|}\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}(n)} N^{|\pi|}\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(n)\}$ is an index tuple corresponding to partition π. By the discussion in the proof of Theorem 13.1 in [NS], $\{S_N : N \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution if and only if

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|}\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})$$

exists for all $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, where $\{i(1), i(2), \cdots, i(n)\}$ is an index tuple corresponding to partition π. For a $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, by (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|}\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}} N^{|\pi|}\kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{(\chi)},\sigma\leq\pi} N^{|\pi|}\kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get that (2.1) is equivalent to (2.2).

If the existence of the limits is assumed, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi(S_{\chi(1),N} S_{\chi(2),N} \cdots S_{\chi(n),N}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}(n)} N^{|\pi|}\varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)} a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}(n)} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}^{(\chi)},\sigma\leq\pi} N^{|\pi|}\kappa_{\chi,\sigma}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}^{\chi}(n)} N^{|\pi|}\kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{P}^{\chi}(n)} \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|}\kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)})
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by equation (2.1), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n)} \kappa_{\chi,\pi}(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) \\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi(S_{\chi(1),N} S_{\chi(2),N} \cdots S_{\chi(n),N}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{\chi}(n)} \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}).\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of bi-free cumulants, the cumulants are determined uniquely by (1.2) (Proposition 5.2 in [MN]). Therefore,

$$\kappa_{\chi,\pi}(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N^{|\pi|} \kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_{\chi(1),N,i(1)}, a_{\chi(2),N,i(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,i(n)}).$$

Especially, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_\chi(b) :=& \kappa_{\chi,1_n}(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) \\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty} N \kappa_{\chi,1_n}(a_{\chi(1),N,1}, a_{\chi(2),N,1}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n),N,1}) \\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty} N \varphi(a_{\chi(1),N,1} a_{\chi(2),N,1} \cdots a_{\chi(n),N,1}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Without any essential difficulties, we can generalize the above limit theorem to the multidimensional case.

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \le m \le N$, let $a_{N,m} = ((a^{(i)}_{l,N,m})_{i\in I}, (a^{(j)}_{r,N,m})_{j\in J})$ be a two-faced family, and $\{a_{N,1}, a_{N,2}, \cdots, a_{N,N}\}$ be a bi-free sequence of identically distributed two-faced families of random variables in a non-commutative probability $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, where I and J are disjoint index sets. It follows that, for $n \ge 1$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, and $\alpha : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to I \bigsqcup J$, such that $\chi^{-1}(l) = \alpha^{-1}(I)$, the moments

$$\varphi(a^{(\alpha(1))}_{\chi(1),N,m} a^{(\alpha(2))}_{\chi(2),N,m} \cdots a^{(\alpha(n))}_{\chi(n),N,m}), 1 \le m \le N,$$

are independent of m. Let $S^{(i)}_{l,N} = \sum_{m=1}^N a^{(i)}_{l,N,m}$, for $i \in I$, $S^{(j)}_{r,N} = \sum_{m=1}^N a^{(j)}_{r,N,m}$, for $j \in J$, and $S_N = ((S^{(i)}_{l,N})_{i\in I}, (S^{(j)}_{r,N})_{j\in J})$ be the two-faced family of random variables in $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$. Then we have the following limit theorem.

Corollary 2.4. *The following two statements are equivalent.*

1. *There is a two-faced family $b = ((b_{l,i})_{i\in I}, (b_{r,j})_{j\in J})$ in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{B}, \phi)$ such that*
$$S_N \overset{distr}{\to} b,$$
as $N \to \infty$.
2. *For each $n \ge 1$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, and $\alpha : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to I \bigsqcup J$, such that $\chi^{-1}(l) = \alpha^{-1}(I)$, the limit*
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N \varphi(a^{(\alpha(1))}_{\chi(1),N,1} a^{(\alpha(2))}_{\chi(2),N,1} \cdots a^{(\alpha(n))}_{\chi(n),N,1})$$
exists.

If the existence of the limits is assumed, then we have

$$\kappa_{\chi,1_n}(b_{\chi(1),\alpha(1)}, b_{\chi(2),\alpha(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n),\alpha(n)}) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N \varphi(a^{(\alpha(1))}_{\chi(1),N,1} a^{(\alpha(2))}_{\chi(2),N,1} \cdots a^{(\alpha(n))}_{\chi(n),N,1}).$$

As an application of the above limit theorems, we can prove Voiculescu's bi-free central limit theorem (7.9 in [Vo1]) in a special (but most popular) case. First let's recall Voiculescu's centered bi-free Gaussian distributions.

Definition 2.5 (7.3 in [Vo1]). *Let I and J be two disjoint index sets. A two-faced family $z = ((z_i)_{i\in I}, (z_j)_{j\in J})$ in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ has a bi-free centered Gaussian distribution if its cumulants satisfy $\kappa_{\alpha,1_n}(z) = 0$, for all $\alpha : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to I \bigsqcup J$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 2$.*

Let's use our limit theorems to prove the bi-free central limit theorem.

Proposition 2.6 (7.9 in [Vo1]). *Let $z^{(n)} = ((z_i^{(n)})_{i\in I}, (z_j^{(n)})_{j\in J})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a bi-free sequence of identically distributed two-faced families in $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ such that $\varphi(z_k^{(n)}) = 0, \forall k \in I \bigsqcup J$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $S_{N,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{n=1}^N z_k^{(n)}$, for $k \in I \bigsqcup J, N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S_N = ((S_{N,i})_{i\in I}, (S_{N,j})_{j\in J})$. Then*

$$S_N \overset{distr}{\to} b,$$

where $b = ((b_i)_{i\in I}, (b_j)_{j\in J})$ is a two-faced family in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{B},\phi)$ having a bi-free centered Gaussian distribution such that $\kappa(b_k b_l) = \varphi(z_k^{(n)} z_l^{(n)}), \forall k,l \in I \bigsqcup J$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, we need to show that $\lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(1)}}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(2)}}{\sqrt{N}}\cdots\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(n)}}{\sqrt{N}})$ exists, for all $k : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to I \bigsqcup J, n \geq 1$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{k,1_n}(b) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi(\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(1)}}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(2)}}{\sqrt{N}}\cdots\frac{z^{(m)}_{k(n)}}{\sqrt{N}})&\\ = \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{N}{N^{n/2}}\varphi(z^{(m)}_{k(1)}z^{(m)}_{k(2)}\cdots z^{(m)}_{k(n)}) &= \delta_{n,2}\varphi(z^{(m)}_{k(1)}\cdots z^{(m)}_{k(n)}).\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Bi-free infinitely divisible distributions

The goal of this section is to define and study *bi-free infinitely divisible distributions* in a more general setting than that in [GHM]: we do not require that the random variable in the left face commute with that in the right face of a two-faced pair of random variables. First let's give the definition.

Definition 3.1. *A two faced pair $a = (a_l, a_r)$ of self-adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution if for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a bi-free sequence of N identically distributed two-faced pairs $\{(a_{l,N,i}, a_{r,N.i}) : i = 1,2,\cdots,N\}$ of self-adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{A}_N,\varphi_N)$ such that*

$$S_N := (S_{l,N}, S_{r,N}) := (\sum_{i=1}^N a_{l,N,i}, \sum_{i=1}^N a_{r,N,i})$$

in $(\mathcal{A}_N,\varphi_N)$ has a distribution same as that of $a = (a_l, a_r)$ in $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}_N = \underbrace{\mathcal{H}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathcal{H}}_{N \text{ copies of } \mathcal{H}}$. For $f,g \in \mathcal{H}, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let*

$$\widehat{f} = \frac{f\oplus f\oplus\cdots\oplus f}{\sqrt{N}} \in \mathcal{H}_N, \widehat{g} = \frac{g\oplus g\oplus\cdots\oplus g}{\sqrt{N}} \in \mathcal{H}_N, \widehat{T} = T\oplus T\oplus\cdots\oplus T \in B(\mathcal{H}_N).$$

Then $\widehat{\Delta} := \{l(\widehat{f}), l(\widehat{g})^*, r(\widehat{f}), r(\widehat{g})^*, \Lambda_l(\widehat{T}), \Lambda_r(\widehat{T}) : f,g \in \mathcal{H}, T \in B(\mathcal{H})\}$ has a distribution in $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_N)), \tau_{\mathcal{H}_N})$ same as that of $\Delta := \{l(f), r(f), l(g)^*, r(g)^*, \Lambda_l(T), \Lambda_r(T) : f,g \in \mathcal{H}, T \in B(\mathcal{H})\}$ in $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}), \tau_{\mathcal{H}})$.

Proof. For any $b \in \Delta$, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(b) = 0 = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_N}(\widehat{b})$. For $b_0, b_1, \cdots b_n, b_{n+1} \in \Delta, n \geq 0$, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(b_0\cdots b_{n+1}) \neq 0$ implies that $b_0 = \chi(g)^*$, $b_{n+1} = \chi(f)$, $f,g \in \mathcal{H}, \chi \in \{l,r\}$, and $b_1\cdots b_n f = f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(b_0\cdots b_{n+1}) = \langle b_1\cdots b_n f, g\rangle.$$

Very similarly, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_N}(\widehat{b}_0 \cdots \widehat{b}_{n+1}) \neq 0$ implies that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_N}(\widehat{b}_0 \cdots \widehat{b}_{n+1}) = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle$.

Since $b_1 \cdots b_n f \in \mathcal{H}$, there are k operators $\{\chi(i)(f_i) : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \chi(i) \in \{l, r\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and k operators $\{\chi(i)(f_i)^* : f_i \in \mathcal{H}, \chi(i) \in \{l, r\}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ among $\{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n\}$, $2k \leq n$. Let's prove

$$\langle b_1 \cdots b_n f, g \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

by induction in k.

When $k = 0$, it is obvious that (3.1) holds true, because $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ are $\Lambda_\chi(T)$, $T \in B(\mathcal{H}), \chi \in \{l, r\}$. When $k = 1$, after performing actions of $\Lambda_\chi(T), T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $\chi \in \{l, r\}$, we can assume that there is no $\Lambda_\chi(T)$ in $\{b_1, \cdots, b_n\}$. Therefore, $n = 2$.

Case I. $b_1 = l(f_1)^*, b_2 = l(f_2)$. or $b_1 = r(f_1)^*, b_2 = r(f_2)$. In this case, we have

$$\langle b_1 b_2 f, g \rangle = \langle f_2, f_1 \rangle \langle f, g \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}_2, \widehat{f}_1 \rangle \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \widehat{b}_2 \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle.$$

Case II. $b_1 = l(f_1)^*, b_2 = r(f_2)$.

$$\langle b_1 b_2 f, g \rangle = \langle f, f_1 \rangle \langle f_2, g \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{f}_1 \rangle \langle \widehat{f}_2, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \widehat{b}_2 \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle.$$

Case III. $b_1 = r(f_1)^*, b_2 = l(f_2)$. The discussion is same as that in case II.

Suppose (3.1) holds true for $k < K$. Now we consider the case that $k = K$. After performing actions of $\Lambda_\chi(T)$, $T \in B(\mathcal{H}), \chi \in \{l, r\}$, we can assume that $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ are creation or annihilation operators. Choose the largest index i such that $b_i = l(f_i)^*$ or $r(f_i)^*$, and $b_{i+1} = l(f_{i+1})$ or $r(f_{i+1})$. Then $b_j = \chi(f_j), j = i+2, \cdots, n, \chi \in \{l, r\}$.

Case I. $b_i = l(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = l(f_{i+1})$. Since $l(\xi)r(\zeta) = r(\zeta)l(\xi)$, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, we can write $b_{i+1} \cdots b_n = l(f_{i+1})l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_p)r(\zeta_q) \cdots r(\zeta_1)$, $p + q = n - i - 1$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b_1 \cdots b_n f, g \rangle &= \langle f_{i+1}, f_i \rangle \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} b_{i+2} \cdots b_n f, g \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{f}_{i+1}, \widehat{f}_i \rangle \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} \widehat{b}_{i+2} \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Case II. $b_i = r(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = l(f_{i+1})$, and $q > 0$. By inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b_1 \cdots b_n f, g \rangle =& \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} r(f_i)^* l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_p) r(\zeta_q) r(\zeta_{q-1}) \cdots r(\zeta_1) f, g \rangle \\ =& \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_p) r(f_i)^* r(\zeta_q) r(\zeta_{q-1}) \cdots r(\zeta_1) f, g \rangle \\ =& \langle \zeta_q, f_i \rangle \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_p) r(\zeta_{q-1}) \cdots r(\zeta_1) f, g \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{\zeta}_q, \widehat{f}_i \rangle \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_p) r(\widehat{\zeta}_{q-1}) \cdots r(\widehat{\zeta}_1) \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_p) r(\widehat{f}_i)^* r(\widehat{\zeta}_q) r(\widehat{\zeta}_{q-1}) \cdots r(\widehat{\zeta}_1) \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} r(\widehat{f}_i)^* l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_p) r(\widehat{\zeta}_q) \cdots r(\widehat{\zeta}_1) \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Case III. $b_i = r(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = l(f_{i+1})$, and $q = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b_1 \cdots b_n f, g \rangle =& \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} r(f_i)^* l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_p) f, g \rangle \\ =& \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_{p-1}) [l(\xi_p) r(f_i)^* f], g \rangle \\ =& \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle b_1 \cdots b_{i-1} l(f_{i+1}) l(\xi_1) \cdots l(\xi_{p-1}) \xi_p, g \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{f}_i \rangle \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_{p-1}) \widehat{\xi}_p, \widehat{g} \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_{p-1}) [l(\widehat{\xi}_p) r(\widehat{f}_i)^* \widehat{f}], \widehat{g} \rangle \\ =& \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_{i-1} r(\widehat{f}_i)^* l(\widehat{f}_{i+1}) l(\widehat{\xi}_1) \cdots l(\widehat{\xi}_p) \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle = \langle \widehat{b}_1 \cdots \widehat{b}_n \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Case IV. $b_i = r(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = r(f_{i+1})$. The proof is same as that for Case I.
Case V. $b_i = l(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = r(f_{i+1})$, and $p = 0$. The proof is same as that for Case III.
Case VI. $b_i = l(f_i)^*, b_{i+1} = r(f_{i+1})$, and $p > 0$. The proof is same as that for Case II. □

The following corollary provides a particular type of bi-free infinitely divisible distributions.

Corollary 3.3. *For $f, g \in \mathcal{H}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, and $T_1, T_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$, we have the following conclusions.*

(1) *Let $b = ((b_{l,1}, b_{l,2}, b_{l,3}), (b_{r,1}, b_{r,2}, b_{r,3})) = ((l(f), l(g)^*, \Lambda_l(T_1)), (r(f), r(g)^*, \Lambda_r(T_2)))$ and B the set of all operators in b. Then the bi-free cumulants of elements in B have the following form. Let χ be a map from $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ into $\{l, r\}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\kappa_\chi(b_i) = 0, \forall b_i \in B$. For $n = 2$, the cumulant $\kappa_\chi(b_1, b_2) \neq 0$ only if $b_1 = l(g)^*$, or $r(g)^*$, and $b_2 = l(f)$, or $r(f)$. In this case,*

$$\kappa_\chi(b_1, b_2) = \langle f, g \rangle.$$

For $n > 2$, $\kappa_\chi(b_1 \cdots b_n) \neq 0$ only if $b_1 = l(g)^*$, or $r(g)^*$, $b_n = l(f)$, or $r(f)$, and $b_2, b_3, \cdots, b_{n-1}$ are $\Lambda_h(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $h \in \{l, r\}$. In this case,

$$\kappa_\chi(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n) = \langle b_2 \cdots b_{n-1} f, g \rangle.$$

(2) *Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be self adjoint, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the two-faced pair $a = (a_l, a_r) := (l(f) + l(f)^* + \Lambda_l(T_1) + \lambda_1 1, r(g) + r(g)^* + \Lambda_r(T_2) + \lambda_2 1)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution.*

Proof. (1). For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 3.2, b has a distribution same as

$$\widehat{b} := ((l(\widehat{f}), l(\widehat{g})^*, \Lambda_l(\widehat{T_1})), (r(\widehat{f}), r(\widehat{g})^*, \Lambda_r(\widehat{T_2}))).$$

Furthermore, $\widehat{b}$ is the bi-free sum of $\widehat{b}_1 + \cdots \widehat{b}_N$, where

$$\widehat{b}_i = ((l(\widehat{f}_i), l(\widehat{g}_i)^*, \Lambda_l((\widehat{T}_1)_i), (r(\widehat{f}_i), r(\widehat{g}_i)^*, \Lambda_r((\widehat{T}_2)_i)), \widehat{f}_i = \frac{0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus f \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0}{\sqrt{N}},$$

the i-th component of $\widehat{f}$. All summands have the joint distribution of

$$((\frac{l(f)}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{l(g)^*}{\sqrt{N}}, \Lambda_l(T_1)), (\frac{r(f)}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{r(g)^*}{\sqrt{N}}, \Lambda_r(T_2))).$$

For $b_i \in B$, let $b_{N,i}$ be the corresponding element in

$$B_N := \{\frac{l(f)}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{l(g)^*}{\sqrt{N}}, \Lambda_l(T_1), \frac{r(f)}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{r(g)^*}{\sqrt{N}}, \Lambda_r(T_2)\}.$$

For $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n \in B$, we have $\lim_{N \to \infty} N \varphi_N(b_{N,1} \cdots b_{N,n}) \neq 0$ implies that $b_{N,n} = l(f)/\sqrt{N}$ or $b_{N,n} = r(f)/\sqrt{N}$, and $b_{N,1} = l(g)^*/\sqrt{N}$ or $b_{N,1} = r(g)^*/\sqrt{N}$, and others $b_{N,2}, \cdots b_{N,n-1}$ are $\Lambda_h(T_i)$, $i = 1, 2, h \in \{l, r\}$. In this case, by Corollary 2.4, we have

$$\kappa_\chi(b_1, \cdots, b_n) = \langle b_2 b_3 \cdots b_{n-1} f, g \rangle.$$

(2). Without loss of generality, we can assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 3.2, a and $\widehat{a} = (l(\widehat{f}) + l(\widehat{f})^* + \Lambda_l(\widehat{T_1}), r(\widehat{g}) + r(\widehat{g})^* + \Lambda_r(\widehat{T_2}))$ have the same distribution. Moreover, let

$$\widehat{a}_i = (l(\widehat{f}_i) + l(\widehat{f}_i)^* + \Lambda_l(\widehat{T_{1i}}), r(\widehat{g}_i) + l(\widehat{g}_i)^* + \Lambda_r(\widehat{T_{2i}})),$$

where $\widehat{f}_i, \widehat{g}_i, \widehat{T}_i$ are the i-th summands of the direct sum vectors $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$, and operator $\widehat{T}$, respectively. Then by Proposition 1.1, $\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2, \cdots \widehat{a}_N$ are bi-free. It is obvious that $\widehat{a} = \widehat{a}_1 + \cdots + \widehat{a}_N$. Hence, a has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution. □

The following result is a corollary of Proposition 6.4.1 in [CNS2].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a_1, a_2, \cdots a_n$ be n random variables in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, and $n \geq 2$. If $a_i = 1$, for some i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then for every $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, $\pi \in \mathcal{P}^{(\chi)}(n)$ and $\{1\}$ is not a block of π, $\kappa_{\chi,\pi}(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 0$.*

Definition 3.5. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$ *be a non-commutative probability space,* $\Upsilon := \{\kappa_\chi : \chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, n \geq 1\}$ *be the set of all bi-free cumulant polynomials of* $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$*. We say that the cumulant set of a two-faced pair* $a = (a_l, a_r)$ *is conditionally non-negative definite if for every sequence* $\chi_i : \{1,2,\cdots,i\} \to \{l,r\}, i = 1,2,\cdots,k,$ *in* Υ*, and* $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$*,*

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{k} \alpha_n \overline{\alpha_m} \kappa_{\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m}(a) \geq 0,$$

where $\kappa_{\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m}(a) = \kappa_{\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m}(a_{\chi_n(1)},\cdots,a_{\chi_n(n)},a_{\chi_m(m)},\cdots,a_{\chi_m(1)}),$

$$\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m : \{1,2,\cdots,n+m\} \to \{l,r\},$$

$$\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m(i) = \begin{cases} \chi_n(i), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n; \\ \chi_m(m+n-i+1), & \text{if } n < i \leq n+m. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.6. *Let* $a = (a_l, a_r)$ *be a pair of two self-adjoint operators in a* C^**-probability space* $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$*. We say* $\Upsilon := \{\kappa_\chi(a) : \chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, n \geq 1\}$ *is conditionally bounded if for* $\lambda \in \{l,r\}$*, there exists a positive number* L *such that*

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{k} \alpha_n \overline{\alpha_m} \kappa_{(\chi_n \cup \lambda)\sqcup(\chi_m \cup \lambda)}(a_{\chi_n(1)},\cdots,a_{\chi_n(n)},a_\lambda,a_\lambda,a_{\chi_m(m)},\cdots,a_{\chi_m(1)}) \leq L \sum_{n,m=1}^{k} \alpha_n \overline{\alpha_m} \kappa_{\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m}(a),$$

$\forall \chi_n : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, n = 1,2,\cdots,k, k \geq 1.$

Theorem 3.7. *Let* $a = (a_l, a_r)$ *be a two-faced pair of self-adjoint operators in a* C^**-probability space* $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$*. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *a has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution.*
2. *the bi-free cumulant set* $\Upsilon(a) := \{\kappa_\chi(a) : \chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, n \geq 1\}$ *is conditionally non-negative definite and conditionally bounded.*
3. *a is the limit in distribution of a sequence of triangular arrays in the limit theorem (Theorem 2.3): For each* $N \in \mathbb{N}$*, there is a bi-free family* $\{(a_{l,N,i,}, a_{r,N,i}) : i = 1,2,\cdots,N\}$ *of identically distributed two-faced pairs of self-adjoint operators in a* C^**-probability space* $(\mathcal{A}_N, \varphi_N)$ *such that*

   $$\kappa_\chi(a) = \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi_N(a_{\chi(1),N,i}a_{\chi(2),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi(n),N,i}), \forall \chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, k \geq 1,$$

   where $1 \leq i \leq N$*.*

Proof. (3) ⇒ (2). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1,2,\cdots,k$, and a sequence $\{\kappa_{\chi_i} \in \Upsilon(a) : i = 1,2,\cdots,k\}$, by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n,m=1}^{k} \alpha_n \overline{\alpha_m} \kappa_{\chi_n \sqcup \chi_m}(a) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} N \sum_{m,n=1}^{k} \alpha_n \overline{\alpha_m} \varphi_N(a_{\chi_n(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_n(n),N,i}a_{\chi_m(m),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_m(1),N,i}) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi_N((\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_n a_{\chi_n(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_n(n),N,i})(\sum_{m=1}^{k} \alpha_m a_{\chi_m(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_m(m),N,i})^*) \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $\Upsilon(a)$ is conditionally bounded. For $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_{\chi_n} = a_{\chi_n(1)}a_{\chi_n(2)}\cdots a_{\chi_n(n)}$, $\chi_n : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, n = 1,2,\cdots,k, k \geq 1$, and $\lambda \in \{l,r\}$, by the previous argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n,m=1}^{k} \alpha_n\overline{\alpha_m}\kappa_{(\chi_n\cup\lambda)\sqcup(\chi_m\cup\lambda)}(a)\\
=&\lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi_N((\sum_{n=1}^{k}\alpha_n a_{\chi_n(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_n(n),N,i})a_\lambda^2(\sum_{m=1}^{k}\alpha_m a_{\chi_m(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_m(m),N,i})^*)\\
\leq&\|a_\lambda^2\| \lim_{N\to\infty} N\varphi_N((\sum_{n=1}^{k}\alpha_n a_{\chi_n(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_n(n),N,i})(\sum_{m=1}^{k}\alpha_m a_{\chi_m(1),N,i}\cdots a_{\chi_m(m),N,i})^*)\\
=&L\sum_{n,m=1}^{k}\alpha_n\overline{\alpha_m}\kappa_{\chi_n\sqcup\chi_m}(a).
\end{aligned}$$

We get the desired result with $L = \|a_\lambda^2\|$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $\mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle$ be the set of all polynomials in two (non-commutative) variables X_l and X_r without constant terms. Let

$$X_\chi := X_{\chi(1)}X_{\chi(2)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}, \forall \chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, n \geq 1.$$

By (2), we can define an inner product on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle$ by a sesquilinear extension of

$$\langle X_{\chi_n}, X_{\chi_m}\rangle = \kappa_{\chi_m\sqcup\chi_n}(a), \forall \chi_i : \{1,2,\cdots,i\} \to \{l,r\}, i = m,n, m,n \geq 1.$$

We, thus, get a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ after dividing out the kernel and completion. After identifying $\mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle$ with its image in $\mathcal{H}$, we may treat elements of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle$ as vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ and operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Consider the C^*-probability space $B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}), \tau_\mathcal{H})$ and the operators

$$b = (b_l, b_r) = (l(X_l) + l(X_l)^* + \Lambda_l(X_l) + \kappa(a_l)1, r(X_r) + r(X_r)^* + \Lambda_l(X_r) + \kappa(a_r)1),$$

where $X_\lambda, \lambda \in \{l,r\}$, is the *right* multiplication operator of X_λ on $\mathcal{H}$, that is,

$$X_\lambda(X_{\chi(1)}X_{\chi(2)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}) = X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}X_\lambda,$$

for $X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle \subset \mathcal{H}$. By (2), X_λ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$, therefore, $\Lambda(X_\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Now we show that $\Lambda(X_\lambda)$ is self adjoint on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. For $\chi : \{1,2,\cdots,n\} \to \{l,r\}, \delta : \{1,2,\cdots,m\} \to \{l,r\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}, \Lambda(X_\lambda)^* X_{\delta(1)}\cdots X_{\delta(m)}\rangle\\
=&\langle \Lambda(X_\lambda)X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}, X_{\delta(1)}\cdots X_{\delta(m)}\rangle\\
=&\langle X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}X_\lambda, X_{\delta(1)}\cdots X_{\delta(m)}\rangle\\
=&\kappa_{\delta\sqcup(\chi\sqcup\lambda)}(a_{\delta(1)},\cdots,a_{\delta(m)},a_\lambda,a_{\chi(n)},\cdots,a_{\chi(1)})\\
=&\langle X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}, X_{\delta(1)}\cdots X_{\delta(m)}X_\lambda\rangle\\
=&\langle X_{\chi(1)}\cdots X_{\chi(n)}, \Lambda(X_\lambda)X_{\delta(1)}\cdots X_{\delta(m)}\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

It implies that $\Lambda(X_\lambda) = \Lambda(X_\lambda)^*$. Thus, b_l and b_r are self adjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$.

With the same method, we can prove that in decomposition $\widehat{a} = \widehat{a}_1 + \cdots + \widehat{a}_N$ in Corollary 3.3 (2), each $\widehat{a}_i$ is a pair of two self adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space for $i = 1,2,\cdots,N, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Corollary 3.3, $b = (b_l, b_r)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution in sense of Definition 3.1.

Finally, we show that a's distribution in $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is same as that of b in $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})), \tau_\mathcal{H})$.

It is obvious that $\kappa(b_\chi) = \kappa(a_\chi)$, $\chi \in \{l,r\}$.

For $n \geq 2$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{b} = (b_l, b_r) = ((b_{l,1} + b_{l,2} + b_{l,3}), (b_{r,1}, b_{r,2}, b_{r,3}))\\ := ((l(X_l) + l(X_l)^* + \Lambda_l(X_l)), (r(X_r) + r(X_r)^* + \Lambda_r(X_r))).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, b and $\widetilde{b}$ have the same bi-free cumulants κ_χ, for any map $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}, n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$, by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\kappa_\chi(b_l, b_r) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \kappa_\chi(b_{l,i}, b_{r,j}) = \langle X_r, X_l\rangle = \kappa_\chi(a_l, a_r)$$

and, similarly, $\kappa(b_r, b_l) = \kappa(a_r, a_l)$. For $n > 2$, $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_\chi(b_{\chi(1)}, b_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n)}) = &\sum_{\alpha(1),\alpha(2),\cdots,\alpha(n)=1}^{3} \kappa_\chi(b_{\chi(1),\alpha(1)}, \cdots, b_{\chi(n),\alpha(n)})\\ =&\langle b_{\chi(2),3} \cdots b_{\chi(n-1),3} X_{\chi(n)}, X_{\chi(1)}\rangle\\ =&\langle \Lambda_{\chi(2)}(X_{\chi(2)}) \cdots \Lambda_{\chi(n-1)}(X_{\chi(n-1)}) X_{\chi(n)}, X_{\chi(1)}\rangle\\ =&\langle X_{\chi(n)} X_{\chi(n-1)} \cdots X_{\chi(2)}, X_{\chi(1)}\rangle\\ =&\kappa_\chi(a_{\chi(1)}, a_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n)}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, a has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution.

(1) ⇒ (3) is obvious. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a bi-free sequence

$$\{(a_{l,N,1}, a_{r,N,1}), (a_{l,N,2}, a_{r,N,2}) \cdots, (a_{l,N,N}, a_{r,N,N})\}$$

of identically distributed two-faced pairs of self-adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{A}_N, \varphi_N)$ such that $S_N = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{l,N,i}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{r,N,i})$ has a distribution same as that of a. It is trivial to see that S_N converges in distribution to a, as $N \to \infty$. ☐

4. Bi-free Levy Processes

In this section, we investigate the relation between bi-free Levy processes and bi-free infinitely divisible distributions. Let's give the definition of bi-free Levy processes first.

Definition 4.1. *A family $\{a_t = (a_{l,t}, a_{r,t}) : t \geq 0\}$ of two-faced pairs of self-adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is called a bi-free Levy process if it satisfies the following conditions.*

1. $a_0 = (0, 0)$.
2. *If $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < \infty$, then $a_{t_2} - a_{t_1}, \cdots, a_{t_n} - a_{t_{n-1}}$ are bi-free, where $a_t - a_s = (a_{l,t} - a_{l,s}, a_{r,t} - a_{r,s})$.*
3. *For $0 < s < t$, the distribution of $a_t - a_s$ depends only on $t - s$.*
4. *The distribution μ_t of a_t converges to 0, as $t \to 0+$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $a = (a_l, a_r)$ and $a_t = (a_{l,t}, a_{r,t})$ be two-faced pairs of self-adjoint operators in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$.*

1. *Let $\{a_t = (a_{l,t}, a_{r,t}) : t \geq 0\}$ be a bi-free Levy process. Then a_1 has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution.*
2. *If $a = (a_l, a_r)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution, then there is a bi-free Levy process $\{b_t = (b_{l,t}, b_{r,t}) : t \geq 0\}$ in a C^*-probability space $(\mathcal{B}, \phi)$ such that a and b_1 have the same distribution.*

Proof. For $0 < s, t$, $a_{t+s} = (a_{t+s} - a_s) + a_s$. By the definition of bi-free Levy processes, $(a_{t+s} - a_s)$ and a_s are bi-free, and $a_{t+s} - a_s$ and a_t have the same distribution. Generally, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_1 = a_{1/n} + (a_1 - a_{1/n}) = \cdots = a_{1/n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_{(i+1)/n} - a_{i/n}).$$

Hence, a_1 has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution.

Let $a = (a_l, a_r)$ has a bi-free infinitely divisible distribution. By The proof of Theorem 3.7, we can choose a as

$$a = (l(X_l) + l(X_l)^* + \Lambda_l(X_l) + \kappa(a_l)1, r(X_r) + r(X_r)^* + \Lambda_l(X_r) + \kappa(a_r)1)$$

on the C^*-probability space $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})), \tau_{\mathcal{H}})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert space obtained from the polynomial set $\mathbb{C}\langle X_l, X_r\rangle$ by a special sesquilinear form defined in the proof of Theorem 3.7. The following construction is adapted from the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [GHM] (originally, from [GSS]). Define a new Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. For a Borel set $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, let χ_I be the characteristic function of I in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$. M_I be the multiplication operator of χ_I on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$. Define

$$X_{l,t} = \chi_{[0,t)} \otimes X_l, X_{r,t} = \chi_{[0,t)} \otimes X_r, A_{l,t} = M_{[0,t)} \otimes M(X_l), A_{r,t} = M_{[0,t)} \otimes M(X_r),$$

where $M(X\chi) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is the *right* multiplication operator of X_χ on $\mathcal{H}$, $\chi \in \{l, r\}$. Then consider the operators

$$b_t = (l(X_{l,t}) + l(X_{l,t})^* + \Lambda_l(A_{l,t}) + t\kappa(a_l), r(X_{r,t}) + r(X_{r,t})^* + \Lambda_r(A_{r,t}) + t\kappa(a_r)), t > 0, b_0 = (0, 0)$$

on the C^*-probability space $B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K}), \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$.

For $0 < s < t$, define $X_{\alpha,s,t} = \chi_{[s,t)} \otimes X_\alpha, A_{\alpha,s,t} = M_{[s,t)} \otimes M(X_\alpha), \alpha \in \{l, r\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &b_t - b_s := (c_l, c_r) := (c_{l,1} + c_{l,2} + c_{l,3} + (t-s)\kappa(a_l), c_{r,1} + c_{r,2} + c_{r,3} + (t-s)\kappa(a_r)) \\ &= (l(X_{l,s,t}) + l(X_{l,s,t})^* + \Lambda_l(A_{l,s,t}) + (t-s)\kappa(a_l), r(X_{r,s,t}) + r(X_{r,s,t})^* + \Lambda_r(A_{r,s,t}) + (t-s)\kappa(a_r)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$. Define

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = L^2([0, t_0), dx) \otimes \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_i = L^2([t_{i-1}, t_i), dx) \otimes \mathcal{H}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Then $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{K}_i$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_i$ be the unital C^*-algebras generated by $\{l(f) : f \in \mathcal{K}_i\} \cup \{\Lambda_l(T) : T \in B(\mathcal{K}), T\mathcal{K}_i \subset \mathcal{K}_i, T|_{\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{K}_i} = 0\}$ and $\{r(f) : f \in \mathcal{K}_i\} \cup \{\Lambda_r(T) : T \in B(\mathcal{K}), T\mathcal{K}_i \subset \mathcal{K}_i, T|_{\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{K}_i} = 0\}$, respectively. By Proposition 1.1, $\{(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{C}_i) : 0 \le i \le n\}$ is bi-free in $(B(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})), \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$. Since $X_{\alpha,t_0} = \chi_{[0,t_0)} \otimes X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_0$, and $A_{\alpha,t_0} = M_{[0,t_0)} \otimes M(X_\alpha) : \mathcal{K}_0 \to \mathcal{K}_0$, and $A_{\alpha,t_0}|_{\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{K}_0} = 0$, for $\alpha \in \{l, r\}$, we have $b_{t_0} \in (\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{C}_0)$. Very similarly, $b_{t_1} - b_{t_0} \in (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{C}_1), \cdots, b_{t_n} - b_{t_{n-1}} \in (B_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$. It implies that $b_{t_0}, b_{t_1} - b_{t_0}, \cdots, b_n - b_{n-1}$ are bi-free.

It is obvious that $\kappa(c_\chi) = (t-s)\kappa(a_\chi), \chi \in \{l, r\}$. For $n \ge 2$, by Corollary 3.3, $\kappa_\chi(c_{\chi(1)}, \cdots, c_{\chi(n)}) \ne 0$ if and only if $\kappa_\chi(a_{\chi(1)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n)}) \ne 0$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\chi(c_{\chi(1)}, \cdots, c_{\chi(n)}) =& \langle c_{\chi(2),3} \cdots c_{\chi(n-1),3} X_{\chi(n),s,t}, X_{\chi(1),s,t}\rangle \\ =& \kappa_\chi(a_{\chi(1)}, a_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n)}) \langle \chi_{[s,t)}, \chi_{[s,t)}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+,dx)} \\ =& \kappa_\chi(a_{\chi(1)}, a_{\chi(2)}, \cdots, a_{\chi(n)})(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

The above discussion also shows that $\kappa_\chi(b_t) = t\kappa_\chi(a), \forall \chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}, n \ge 1$. Thus, $\mu_t \to 0$, as $t \to 0+$. It follows that $\{b_t : t \ge 0\}$ is a bi-free Levy process, and $\kappa_\chi(b_1) = \kappa_\chi(a)$, for all $\chi : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{l, r\}, n \ge 1$. □

REFERENCES

[CNS1] I. Charlesworth, B. Nelson, and P. Skoufranis. *On Two-faced families of non-commutative random variables*, to appear in Canadian J. of Math., 2015, 26 pages.

[CNS2] I. Charlesworth, B. Nelson, and P. Skoufranis. *Combinatorics for bi-freeness with amalgamation*. Commun. Math. Phys. 338, 801-847 (2015).

[GSS] P. Glockner, M. Schurmann, and R. Speicher. *Realization of free white noise*. Arch. Math., Vol. 58(1992), 407-416.

[GHM] Y. Gu, H. Huang, and J. Mingo. *An analogue of the Levy-Hinchin formula for bi-free infinitely divisible distributions*. arXiv:1501.05369v2 [math.OA], 9 July 2015.

[KR] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*. Graduate Studies in MAth. Vol. 16, AMS, 1997.

[MN] M. Mastnak and A. Nica. *Double-ended queues and joint moments of left-right canonical operators on full Fock spaces*. Internat. J. Math. 26 (2015), no. 2, 1550016, 34 pp.

[NS] A. Nica and R. Speicher. *Lectures on Combinatorics for Free Probability*, LMS Lecture Notes 335, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[PSa] K. Sato. *Levy Processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambrisge University Press, 1999.

[PS] P. Skoufranis. *Independences and partial R-transforms in bi-free probability*, to appear in Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (H) Probabilites et Statistiques (2015), 31 pages.

[Vo1] D. Voiculescu. *Free Probability form Pairs of faces I.* Comm. Math. Phys. 332 (2014), no. 3, 955-980.

[Vo2] D. Voiculescu. *Free Probability form Pairs of faces II.* arXiv:1308.2035v1, [Math.OA], August, 2013.

[VDN] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema, and A. Nica. *Free Random variables.* CRM Monograph Series, Vol. 1, AMS, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA COLLEGE, PINEVILLE, LA 71359, USA, EMAIL: MINGCHU.GAO@LACOLLEGE.EDU